

# مرکز دانلود رایگان 🧽 مهندسک متالورژی و مواد

## www.lran-mavad.com







People you trust, delivering results.

## Corrosion in the Petroleum Industry

www.iran\_mavad.com

رجع علمي مهندسي مواد



### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	CARBON DIOXIDE CORROSION CHARACTERISTICS "SWEET CORROSION"	. I		
	CARBON DIOXIDE CORROSION	. 2		
II.	OXYGEN CORROSION CHARACTERISTICS	29		
	OXYGEN CORROSION	30		
III.	HYDROGEN SULFIDE CORROSION CHARACTERISTICS	35		
	HYDROGEN SULFIDE CORROSION	36		
IV.	MICROORGANISM INFLUENCED CORROSION CHARACTERISTICS	46		
	MICROORGANISM INFLUENCED CORROSION	47		
	OBTAINING AND ENUMERATING A SAMPLE OF SESSILE BACTERIA	54		
V.	HYDROCHLORIC ACID (STRONG ACID) CORROSION CHARACTERISTICS	55		
	HYDROCHLORIC ACID CORROSION — LOW PH AQUEOUS CORROSION	56		
	HYDROCHLORIC ACID (LOW PH — STRONG ACID) CORROSION	57		
	NAPHTHENIC ACID CORROSION	60		
VI.	NAPHTHENIC ACID CORROSION CHARACTERISTICS	65		
	NAPHTHENIC ACID CORROSION	66		
VII.	HIGH PH CORROSION CHARACTERISTICS	67		
VIII.	MISCELLANEOUS EXAMPLES OF CORROSION	69		
	MISCELLANEOUS EXAMPLES OF CORROSION	70		
	EROSION — CORROSION IN A DEA STRIPPER	72		
	CRUDE STORAGE TANK — CORROSION	73		
	CRUDE PREHEAT CORROSION	74		
	PRODUCT PIPELINE CORROSION	75		
	ALUMINUM BRASS STRESS CRACKING	76		
	UAN CORROSION	77		
	FUEL STORAGE TANK CORROSION	79		
IX. Y	WATERSIDE FAILURES IN THE REFINING INDUSTRY	.81		
	CASE HISTORY I: FAILURE OF A STEAM GENERATOR TUBE DUE TO CAUSTIC CORROSION	.81		
	CASE HISTORY 2: IDLE TIME OXYGEN CORROSION IN A POWER BOILER	.84		
	CASE HISTORY 3: CORROSION FATIGUE CRACKING OF A STEAM GENERATOR TUBE	.87		
	CASE HISTORY 4: FAILURE OF A BOILER DEAERATOR DUE TO STRESS CORROSION CRACKING	.91		
	CASE HISTORY 5: OVERHEATING IN A POWER BOILER	.93		
	CASE HISTORY 6: FAILURE OF A CO BOILER ECONOMIZER TUBE DUE TO FIRESIDE CORROSION	.97		
	CASE HISTORY 7: FAILURE OF A HEAT EXCHANGER TUBE DUE TO STRESS CORROSION CRACKING	101		
	CASE HISTORY 8: STRESS CORROSION CRACKING OF A BRASS HEAT EXCHANGER TUBE	103		
	CASE HISTORY 9: FAILURE OF A HEAT EXCHANGER TUBE DUE TO DEALLOYING AND CORROSION			
		107		
	CASE HISTORY 10: FAILURE OF A HEAT EXCHANGER TUBE DUE TO MICROBIOLOGICALLY INFLUENCEL	)		
		109		
	CASE HISTORY TI: FAILURE OF A HEAT EXCHANGER TUBE DUE TO CONCENTRATION CELL			
		112		
ABOUT THE AUTHORS				



### LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

ILLUSTRATIONS	
FIGURE I-I: UNIFORM CORROSION	2
FIGURE I-2: UNIFORM CORROSION IN TUBING	2
FIGURE I-3: UNIFORM CORROSION WITH NUMEROUS PITS	3
FIGURE I-4: UNIFORM CORROSION SHOWING HILLS AND VALLEYS	3
FIGURE I-5: UNIFORM CORROSION SHOWING HILLS AND VALLEYS	4
FIGURE I-6: CO2 ATTACK — HONEYCOMB PATTERN	4
FIGURE I-7: $CO_{2}^{2}$ ATTACK — HONEYCOMB PATTERN	5
	5
FIGURE I-9: CO <sub>2</sub> PITTING CORROSION	6
	6
	7
FIGURE I-12:WORMHOLE ATTACK	7
FIGURE I-13: SUCKER ROD CONNECTOR WITH WORMHOLE	8
FIGURE I-14: TUBING WITH WORMHOLE ATTACK	8
FIGURE I-15: CLOSE-UP TUBING WITH WORMHOLE ATTACK	9
FIGURE I-16: WORMHOLE EFFECT — CLUSTER OF LARGE PITS	9
FIGURE I-17: RINGWORM CORROSION	. 10
FIGURE I-18: SUSPECTED RINGWORM CORROSION	. 10
FIGURE I-19: PARTED TUBING BY RINGWORM ATTACK	. 11
FIGURE I-20: SEVERE THINNING CAUSED BY RINGWORM ATTACK	. 11
FIGURE I-21: HEAT-AFFECTED ZONE CORROSION	. 12
FIGURE I-22: HAZ AS TYPIFIED BY DEEP CORROSION ALONG WELD SEAM	. 12
FIGURE I-23: CLOSE-UP OF FIGURE I-22	. 13
FIGURE I-24: PHOTOMICROGRAPH OF HAZ ATTACK	. 13
FIGURE I-25: CORRODED WELD	. 14
FIGURE I-26: CORROSION RESULTING FROM ROLLED-IN INCLUSIONS	. 14
FIGURE I-27: CORROSION RESULTING FROM ROLLED-IN INCLUSIONS	. 15
FIGURE I-28: MESA ATTACK	. 15
FIGURE I-29: MESA ATTACK ON TUBING	. 16
FIGURE I-30: MESA ATTACK — NOTE DEEP GOUGES	16
FIGURE I-31: RAINDROP ATTACK	17
FIGURE 1-32' RAINDROP ATTACK — DEEP PITS WITH TAILS	17
FIGURE I-33: CLOSE-UP VIEW OF PIT	18
FIGURE 1-34: EXAMPLE OF THE RAINDROP EFFECT	18
FIGURE 1-35: EXAMPLE OF THE RAINDROP EFFECT	19
FIGURE 1-36: EXAMPLE OF THE RAINDROP EFFECT	19
FIGURE 1.37: FLOW-ENHANCED CORROSION	20
FIGURE 1-38: FLOW-ENHANCED CORROSION IN AN FLOW	20
FIGURE 1-39: CLOSE-LIP SHOWING SEVERE METAL LOSS	21
FIGURE 1-40: CLOSE-UP SHOWING PENETRATION	21
	22
	. <u>2</u> 3
	. 23 24
HOULE 1-TO TEOMY-ENHANCED CONNOJION AT INIFFEE JOINTS	. <b>∠</b> *†



FIGURE I-46: SAND EROSION	24
	25
	25
	25
FIGURE I-49:A PIT FORMED FROM A PORE IN THE COATING	26
FIGURE I-50: CREVICE CORROSION	26
FIGURE I-51: CREVICE CORROSION	27
FIGURE 1-52: CORROSION FATIGUE IN DRILL PIPE	27
	20
	20
CHARI I	28
FIGURE II-1: OXYGEN CORROSION	30
FIGURE II-2: OXYGEN CORROSION IN A FLOWLINE	30
FIGURE II-3:TYPICAL PITS	31
	31
	22
	32
FIGURE II-6: AREAS OF METAL LOSS ON SUCRER RODS	32
FIGURE II-7:TYPICAL RUST-COLORED DEPOSITS	33
FIGURE II-8: SAUCER-LIKE DEPOSITS AND PITS	33
FIGURE II-9: OXYGEN CORROSION IN A 36" PIPELINE	34
CHART 2	34
	36
	30
FIGURE III-2: EACHIFLE OF PL3ATIACK	20
FIGURE III-3: FES CRYSTALS	37
FIGURE III-4: H <sub>2</sub> S ATTACK ON SUCKER RODS	38
FIGURE III-5: H <sub>2</sub> S ATTACK ON SUCKER RODS FOLLOWED BY CORROSION FATIGUE BREAK	38
FIGURE III-6: SŪLFIDE STRESS CRACKING	39
FIGURE III-7: CORROSION FATIGUE IN SUCKER ROD CONNECTORS	39
	40
	40
	41
FIGURE III-10:A FAILURE CAUSED BT SUEFIDE STRESS CRACKING OF A KILL STRING	41
	42
CHART 3: SULFIDE STRESS CRACKING REGION	42
FIGURE III-12: HYDROGEN EMBRITTLEMENT	43
FIGURE III-13: CHLORIDE STRESS CORROSION IN STAINLESS STEEL	44
CHART 4: APPROACHES TO STRESS CORROSION CONTROL	45
	47
	10
	40
	48
FIGURE IV-4: THE TERRACED EFFECT	49
FIGURE IV-5: ANOTHER EXAMPLE OF THE TERRACED EFFECT	49
FIGURE IV-6: PIPE CLEANER TEST EXAMPLE	17
FIGURE IV-7: PIPE CLEANER TEST EXAMPLES	50
	50 50
	50 50 51
	50 50 51
FIGURE IV-8: MIC ATTACK IN CONJUNCTION WITH CO2ATTACK	50 50 51 52
FIGURE IV-8: MIC ATTACK IN CONJUNCTION WITH CO2ATTACK	50 50 51 52 52 52
FIGURE IV-8: MIC ATTACK IN CONJUNCTION WITH CO2 ATTACK FIGURE IV-9: MIC DETECTED IN THE 3 O'CLOCK POSITION FIGURE IV-10: MIC DETECTED IN THE 9 O'CLOCK POSITION FIGURE IV-11: DETECTABLE BLACK GROWTH	50 50 51 52 52 53



FIGURE V-2: CLOSER INSPECTION OF THE PITS						
FIGURE V-3: 13 CR STAINLESS STEEL (UNS410) BUBBLE CAP CORROSION						
FIGURE V-4: CLOSE-UP OF PITTING CORROSION						
FIGURE V-5: AMMONIUM CHLORIDE CORROSION ON CARBON STEEL HEAT						
EXCHANGER TUBES						
FIGURE V-6: PITS WITH NARROW OPENINGS AND DEEP CAVERNOUS VOIDS						
FIGURE V-7: AMMONIUM CHLORIDE CORROSION ON SS410 TRAYS						
FIGURE V-8: AMMONIUM CHLORIDE CORROSION ON SS410 TRAYS						
FIGURE V-9: 304L STAINLESS STEEL TRAY						
FIGURE V-10: STRESS CORROSION CRACKING FAILURE						
FIGURE V-11: CARBON STEEL TRAYS — HCI CORROSION						
FIGURE V-12: UNDERDEPOSIT CORROSION — NOTE THE THINNING CLEANED SURFACE 62						
FIGURE V-13: SULFIDATION/OXIDATION OR AMMONIUM CHLORIDE						
FIGURE V-14. UNDERDEPOSIT CORROSION						
FIGURE V-15: PITTING AND GOUGING — EXTERNAL SURFACE						
FIGURE VI-1: NAPHTHENIC ACID ATTACK ON 14CR TRAY						
FIGURE VI-2: TYPICAL PITTING FROM NAPHTHENIC ACID CORROSION ON HEATER PLUGS 66						
FIGURE VII-1: DE-ALLOYING TUBES — H <sub>2</sub> S CORROSION 90-10 CU:NI HEAT EXCHANGER						
TUBES						
FIGURE VII-2: CLOSE-UP OF DE-ALLOYING TUBES — H <sub>2</sub> S CORROSION 90-10						
CU:NI HEAT EXCHANGER TUBES						
FIGURE VIII-1: EROSION CORROSION — CARBON STEEL TEE						
FIGURE VIII-2: CLOSE-UP PITS AFTER CLEANING						
FIGURE VIII-3: EROSION-CORROSION — CARBON STEEL OVERHEAD EXCHANGER TUBES71						
FIGURE VIII-4: EROSION-CORROSION — DEA STRIPPER TOWER WALL						
FIGURE VIII-5: LARGE AREAS ERODED						
FIGURE VIII-6: CRUDE STORAGE TANK FAILURE MOST LIKELY FROM WET OXYGENATED SOILS 73						
FIGURE VIII-7: OXYGEN, H <sub>2</sub> S, AND CO <sub>2</sub> CORROSION						
FIGURE VIII-8: CARBON STEEL PRODUCT PIPELINE CORROSION						
FIGURE VIII-9: CLOSE-UP OF CARBON STEEL PRODUCT PIPELINE CORROSION						
FIGURE VIII-10: ALUMINUM BRASS STRESS CRACKING						
FIGURE VIII-TI: ALUMINUM BRASS STRESS CRACKING						
FIGURE VIII-12: UAN CORROSION — CARBON STEEL STORAGE TANK						
FIGURE VIII-13. CLOSE-UP VIEVV OF THE CORROSION AT A PLATE BOUNDART						
FIGURE VIII-14: UAN CORROSION ON CARBON STEEL STORAGE TANKS						
TI RESHEET						
FIGURE IX-2-TRANSEER LINE EXCHANGER						
FIGURE IX-3: TUBESHEET WHERE CORROSION OCCURRED 82						
FIGURE IX-4: CORROSION PRODUCTS IN WASTED AREA CONTAINING MAGNETITE						
NEEDLES AND METALLIC COPPER PARTICLES						



FIGURE IX-5: BOTTOM-HALF SECTION SHOWING INTERNAL SURFACE COVERED WITH UNIFORM
LAYER OF BLACK IRON OXIDE INTERRUPTED BY SCATTERED IRON
OXIDE TUBERCLES
FIGURE IX-6: IRON OXIDE TUBERCLE WITH NO FLOW ORIENTATION
FIGURE IX-7: DEPRESSIONS FORMED AT TUBERCLE SITES REVEALED BY CLEANING
FIGURE IX-8: LOCALIZED STRIP OF ORANGE-BROWN IRON OXIDE ALONG BOTTOM OF
HORIZONTAL TUBE
FIGURE IX-9: HEAVY DEPOSIT LAYERS COVERING INTERNAL SURFACE OF BOILER TUBE
FIGURE IX-10: NUMEROUS TRANSVERSELY ORIENTED FISSURES ON INTERNAL SURFACE
FIGURE IX-11: FISSURE CAUSED BY CORROSION FATIGUE CRACKING FILLED WITH DENSE
OXIDE CONTAINING CENTERLINE CRACK
FIGURE IX-12: ILLUSTRATION OF THE MECHANISM OF CORROSION FATIGUE CRACKING89
FIGURE IX-13: NUMEROUS FISSURES INITIATING FROM SHALLOW DEPRESSIONS CAUSED BY
OLD IDLE TIME OXYGEN CORROSION
FIGURE IX-14: CRACKS ON INTERNAL SURFACE COVERED WITH IRON OXIDE
CORROSION PRODUCTS
FIGURE IX-15: IRON OXIDE CORROSION PRODUCTS REMOVED TO REVEAL DEPRESSIONS92
FIGURE IX-16: METALLOGRAPHIC CROSS-SECTION REVEALING BRANCHED, TRANSGRANULAR
CRACKS PENETRATING OUTWARD FROM INTERNAL SURFACE
FIGURE IX-17: LOCALIZED BULGES ON HOT SIDE OF WALL TUBE
FIGURE IX-18: LOCALIZED DEPOSITION AT BULGE SITE
FIGURE IX-19: MICROSTRUCTURE OF TUBE IN AS-MANUFACTURED CONDITION IN ALL
LOCATIONS EXCEPT AT BULGES CONSISTING OF COLONIES OF PEARLITE
IN FERRITE MATRIX
FIGURE IX-20: MICROSTRUCTURE AT BULGES CONSISTING OF SPHEROIDAL CARBIDES IN
FERRITE MATRIX
FIGURE IX-21: INTERGRANULAR CREEP FISSURES ON EXTERNAL SURFACE AT BULGE
FIGURE IX-22: METAL STRENGTH VERSUS TEMPERATURE
FIGURE IX-23: EXTERNAL SURFACE DEPRESSIONS AND ACIDIC, LIGHT-COLORED DEPOSITS98
FIGURE IX-24: DEPOSITS REMOVED TO REVEAL DEPRESSIONS
FIGURE IX-25: SULFURIC ACID DEWPOINT AS A FUNCTION OF FUEL SULFUR CONTENT99
FIGURE IX-26: SULFURIC ACID DEWPOINT AS A FUNCTION OF WATER VAPOR
CONCENTRATION IN FURNACE ENVIRONMENT
FIGURE IX-27: WALL OF ADMIRALTY BRASS HEAT EXCHANGER TUBE PENETRATED
BY A CRACK
FIGURE IX-28: BRANCHED, INTERGRANULAR CRACK AND FISSURES PENETRATING FROM
INTERNAL SURFACE
FIGURE IX-29: BRITTLE FRACTURE EDGE CONTAINING MULTIPLE CRACK INITIATION SITES 103
FIGURE IX-30: NUMEROUS TRANSVERSELY ORIENTED FISSURES NEAR FRACTURE EDGE104
FIGURE IX-31:TIGHT BRANCHED FISSURE CAUSED BY STRESS CORROSION CRACKING105
FIGURE IX-32: TRANSGRANULAR PROPAGATION PATH OF A FISSURE
FIGURE IX-33: INCREASE IN TIME TO FAILURE WITH DECREASING STRESS AND THRESHOLD
STRESS BELOW WHICH CRACKING DOES NOT OCCUR
FIGURE IX-34: CRACKING FAILURE
FIGURE IX-35: INTERNAL SURFACE DEPRESSIONS
FIGURE IX-36: METALLIC COPPER COVERING SURFACE, INDICATING DEZINCIFICATION108
FIGURE IX-37: CORROSION FATIGUE FISSURES PENETRATING FROM BASE OF DEPRESSION 109



FIGURE IX-38: SCATTERED, DEEP EXTERNAL SURFACE DEPRESSIONS	D
FIGURE IX-39: CLUSTERS OF MUTUALLY-INTERSECTING, ROUNDED DEPRESSIONS	D
FIGURE IX-40: METALLOGRAPHIC CROSS-SECTION OF DEPRESSION COVERED WITH	
CORROSION PRODUCTS CONTAINING SULFIDE	I
FIGURE IX-41: IRON OXIDE LAYERS AND TUBERCLES OVERLAID WITH WATER-FORMED	
DEPOSIT LAYERSII	2
FIGURE IX-42: DEPOSIT AND CORROSION PRODUCT LAYERS REMOVED TO REVEAL	
ROUGH-SURFACED, UNDERCUT DEPRESSIONS	3
FIGURE IX-43: METALLOGRAPHIC CROSS-SECTION OF ROUGH-SURFACED, UNDERCUT	
DEPRESSIONS BENEATH HOLLOW IRON OXIDE TUBERCLE	3
FIGURE IX-44: DIFFERENTIAL OXYGEN CONCENTRATION CELL	4
FIGURE IX-45: ION CONCENTRATION CELL	5
FIGURE IX-46:TUBERCLE	6



## I. CARBON DIOXIDE CORROSION CHARACTERISTICS "SWEET CORROSION"

#### Form of Corrosion

Uniform — FeCO<sub>3</sub> film Pits — Round to oval shape Sharp edges Round bottoms Smooth sides and bottoms

#### **Corrosion Products**

Iron carbonate (FeCO<sub>3</sub>), siderite Iron oxide (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, magnetite Color — tan, green, brown to black

#### **Corrosion Reactions**

$CO_2 + H_2O$	$\rightarrow$	H <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>
$H_2\bar{CO}_3 + Fe$	$\rightarrow$	$FeCO_3 + 2H^+ + 2e^-$
3Fe + 4H <sub>2</sub> O	$\rightarrow$	$Fe_3O_4 + 8H^+ + 8e^-$

#### **Unique Forms of Corrosion**

Wormhole attack Ringworm corrosion Heat-affected corrosion Mesa attack Rain drop

#### Treatment

Drilling — pH control with caustic soda Producing wells — Corrosion inhibitors Flowlines — Continuous corrosion inhibitor injection



#### **CARBON DIOXIDE CORROSION**

Carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$  is absorbed in brines and can cause severe corrosive attack of steel. The corrosion rates of  $CO_2$  can theoretically be from 1,000 to 10,000 mils per year, if not stopped by corrosion products or inhibitors. Uniform corrosion takes the form of hills and valleys with very little area that is not attacked (Figure I-1).



An example of uniform  $CO_2$  corrosion from tubing is shown in Figure I-2.



Figure I-2: Uniform Corrosion in Tubing





Another form of  $CO_2$  corrosion takes the form of numerous pits (Figure I-3).

Figure I-3: Uniform Corrosion with Numerous Pits

Figure I-4 and Figure I-5 show additional examples of uniform corrosion, leaving a distinctive hill and valley texture.



Figure I-4: Uniform Corrosion Showing Hills and Valleys





Figure I-5: Uniform Corrosion Showing Hills and Valleys

Another form of CO<sub>2</sub> attack has been observed on exposed faces of high-pressure wells, taking the form of an intricate honeycomb (Figure I-6 and Figure I-7).



Figure I-6: CO<sub>2</sub> Attack — Honeycomb Pattern





Figure I-7: CO<sub>2</sub> Attack — Honeycomb Pattern

Another example of honeycomb attack can be seen on a choke body (Figure I-8).



Figure I-8: Honeycomb Attack in a Choke Body



 $CO_2$  dissolved in brine forms carbonic acid, and carbonic acid is extremely corrosive to steel, with horrendous corrosion rates. However, the carbonic acid corrosion by-product, iron carbonate (FeCO<sub>3</sub>), usually forms protective films. FeCO<sub>3</sub> is relatively insoluble at downhole operating conditions. However, at breaks in the FeCO<sub>3</sub> film pits do develop. This pitting corrosion is extremely rapid and can cause failures. CO<sub>2</sub> pitting corrosion can be seen in Figure I-9.



Figure I-9: CO<sub>2</sub> Pitting Corrosion

A piece of downhole tubing that perforated within two years of operation is shown in Figure I-10 and Figure I-11.



Figure I-10: Tubing Perforated by CO<sub>2</sub> Corrosion





Figure I-11: Tubing Perforated by CO<sub>2</sub> Corrosion

Another typical formation for  $CO_2$  corrosion is called wormhole type of attack (Figure I-12).



Figure I-12: Wormhole Attack



In the wormhole attack, individual  $CO_2$  pits are interconnected and form a wormhole appearance, with segments very similar to the segmentation pattern on a worm. A sucker rod connector had a typical wormhole (Figure I-I3).



Figure I-13: Sucker Rod Connector with Wormhole

Tubing with wormhole attack can be seen in Figure I-14, with a close-up view in Figure I-15.



Figure I-14: Tubing with Wormhole Attack





Figure I-15: Close-up Tubing with Wormhole Attack

At times, the wormhole can take the form of a cluster of large pits (Figure I-16).



Figure I-16: Wormhole Effect — Cluster of Large Pits





Another form of corrosion observed in the oilpatch is named ringworm corrosion (Figure I-17).

Figure I-17: Ringworm Corrosion

Ringworm corrosion is a metallurgical effect caused by the making of the upset. In fabricating the upset, a zone is created 4 to 6 inches from the upset shoulder, wherein carbon particles have been spheroidized. These spheroidal-shaped particles accelerate corrosion in mildly corrosive or even non-corrosive environments. This is a galvanic effect. If one observes numerous through-the-wall penetrations in tubing 4 to 6 inches from the upset shoulders such as in Figure I-18, ringworm corrosion is suspected.



Figure I-18: Suspected Ringworm Corrosion



Ringworm can cause tubing parting (Figure I-19).



Figure I-19: Parted Tubing by Ringworm Attack

Another example shows severe thinning at this point, with a smooth surface (Figure I-20).



Figure I-20: Severe Thinning Caused by Ringworm Attack

The treatment for ringworm corrosion is to normalize the steel after making the upset. Normalizing redissolves the carbide particles eliminating the zone created by making the upset.





Another form of galvanically accelerated corrosion is called heat-affected zone corrosion (Figure I-21).

Figure I-21: Heat-affected Zone Corrosion

Heat-affected zone corrosion or HAZ attack is typified by deep corrosion along the weld seam. Figure I-22 shows a typical example and Figure I-23 illustrates a close-up view.



Figure I-22: HAZ as Typified by Deep Corrosion Along Weld Seam





Figure I-23: Close-up of Figure I-22

The weld seam is relatively unharmed, although the zone right next to the weld is deeply corroded. This can be seen in a photomicrograph through the weld and heat-affected zone. The heat-affected zone is darker than the weld (Figure I-24).



Figure I-24: Photomicrograph of HAZ Attack



The HAZ corrosion mechanism is similar to ringworm corrosion. During welding, a zone is created wherein carbon particles accumulate and precipitate to form nodules. These nodules in mildly corrosive environments form a galvanic cell. The weld itself can preferentially corrode at times (Figure I-25).



Figure I-25: Corroded Weld

Heat-affected zone corrosion is found in elbows, reducers, and flowline tees. These are typically field welds, where there are no means of negating the effect of welding by post weld heat treatments. The fix for heat-affected zone corrosion is to normalize the steel after welding, which can be done on tubing, but may not be possible on field welds.



Figure I-26: Corrosion Resulting from Rolled-in Inclusions



An unusual form of metallurgical attack on the outside of tubing is shown in Figure I-26 and I-27, and is attributed to rolled-in inclusions.



Figure I-27: Corrosion Resulting from Rolled-in Inclusions

Another form of  $CO_2$  corrosion is called mesa attack. Mesa attack usually occurs where areas of metal that have a good protective coating exist and where other areas have no protective coating. In addition, mesa attack occurs in flowing environments (Figure I-28).



Figure I-28: Mesa Attack



Tubing that has undergone mesa attack can be seen in Figure I-29. Flat surfaces and deep gouges between the flat surfaces typify it (Figure I-30). Mesa corrosion can be controlled with inhibitor films to get greater protection on those surfaces where corrosion has occurred.



Figure I-29: Mesa Attack on Tubing



Figure I-30: Mesa Attack — Note Deep Gouges



Another form of  $CO_2$  corrosion occurs in gas condensate wells, which do not produce a large amount of water. In these wells, a zone where water droplets, or water of condensation, will drop out. This phenomenon is labeled raindrop attack (Figure I-31).



Figure I-31: Raindrop Attack

In this area, very deep pits occur with tails. These deep pits on tubing are shown in Figure I-32. This tubing was the second and third joint below the Christmas tree.



Figure I-32: Raindrop Attack — Deep Pits with Tails



A close-up view of the pit shows the typical tail. The tail is usually downstream of the flow, pointing opposite to the direction of flow. It is formed by the escaping or dripping of corrosion by-products out of the deep pit (Figure I-33).



Figure I-33: Close-up View of Pit

Other examples of the raindrop effect are shown in Figure I-34, Figure I-35, and Figure I-36. Use of corrosion inhibitor films might prevent the raindrop effect by preventing droplets from hanging up in the tubing. The most prevalent place for the raindrop effect has been relatively close to the Christmas tree head. However, the effect has also occurred at lower levels where the temperature is low enough to condense the moisture from the gas stream.



Figure I-34: Example of the Raindrop Effect





Figure I-35: Example of the Raindrop Effect



Figure I-36: Example of the Raindrop Effect





Another form of severe metal loss usually leading to rapid failures is flow-enhanced corrosion (Figure I-37).

Figure I-37: Flow-Enhanced Corrosion

Flow-enhanced corrosion was at one time called erosion-corrosion. Flow-enhanced corrosion usually occurs when a change of direction in the flow of fluids occurs, such as near an elbow. Typically, the mechanism follows a deposition of a protective  $FeCO_3$  film with rubbing off the film by the flow of fluids, and sometimes solids. After the protective coating is abraded off, a new surface is exposed which then immediately starts to corrode. The corrosion product is a softer surface, which is then eroded away, and the process continues until a failure occurs. The following illustrates an elbow taken from a flowline (Figure I-38).



Figure I-38: Flow-Enhanced Corrosion in an Elbow



Figure I-39, a close-up view after cleaning, shows the severe metal loss. Figure I-40 shows the through-the-wall penetration.



Figure I-39: Close-up Showing Severe Metal Loss



Figure I-40: Close-up Showing Penetration



In Figure I-41, this flowline has an unusual failure mode. It is a combination of flow-enhanced corrosion and heat-affected zone attack.



Figure I-41: Combination of Corrosion Mechanisms

After a 45° bend, severe metal loss was noted in the bend (Figure I-42). In addition, a clear demarcation can be found where the flow had ceased, and there was no further attack on the pipe.



Figure I-42: Severe Metal Loss in Bend



Another example of this flow-enhanced corrosion is located at the top of a U-bend, as seen in this flowline (Figure I-43).



Figure I-43: Flow-Enhanced Corrosion in U-bend

Another form of flow-enhanced corrosion is at nipple joints. Usually there is a gap when a threaded joint is made up, and at the gap, turbulence is generated and flow-enhanced corrosion can occur (Figure I-44 and Figure I-45).



Figure I-44: Flow-Enhanced Corrosion at Nipple Joints





Figure I-45: Flow-Enhanced Corrosion at Nipple Joints

Sand erosion can also occur (Figure I-46). This erosion is typified by a smooth surface with a sand dune pattern.



Figure I-46: Sand Erosion



On coated tubing, wireline operations can sometimes cut the protective coating, resulting in corrosive attack where the breaks occur (Figure I-47 and Figure I-48). This attack is termed wireline attack.



Figure I-47: Wireline Attack



Figure I-48: Wireline Attack



Pores can sometimes cause an undercutting and pits in the base metal (Figure I-49).



Figure I-49: A Pit Formed from a Pore in the Coating

Another form of attack is called crevice corrosion. Crevice corrosion usually occurs in metals with a good protective coating. However, at crevices, there are places where the protective coating does not get underneath, and severe localized corrosion can occur (Figure I-50 and Figure I-51).



Figure I-50: Crevice Corrosion





Figure I-51: Crevice Corrosion

Corrosion fatigue is a combination of corrosion and cyclic stresses (Figure I-52).



Figure I-52: Corrosion Fatigue in Drill Pipe



In the oilfield, the two places where corrosion fatigue is prevalent is in drill pipe and sucker rods. Both of these places are under severe cyclic stresses (Figure I-50 and Figure I-51).



Figure I-53: Corrosion Fatigue in Sucker Rods

This failure has all the attributes of a corrosion fatigue failure, with an initiation point, a smooth half moon thumbnail, a crystalline area, and a lip break (Figure I-53).

Chart I demonstrates the relationship between grades to failure and applied stress. With corrosion, a safe operating stress does not exist.



Chart 1


# **II. OXYGEN CORROSION CHARACTERISTICS**

## Forms of Attack

Uniform — With red rust deposit Pits — Smooth bottoms Smooth sides Sloped edges Width much greater than pit depth

### **Corrosion Products**

Iron oxides	FeO(OH), geothite
	$Fe_2O_3$ , hematite
	$Fe_{3}O_{4}$ , magnetite
	FeO(OH), ferrous hydroxide

### **Corrosion Reactions**

2Fe + 3H <sub>2</sub> O	$\rightarrow$	$Fe_{2}O_{3} + 6H^{+}$
$3Fe + 4H_{2}O$	$\rightarrow$	$Fe_{3}O_{4} + 8H^{+}$
$Fe + 2H_2O$	$\rightarrow$	FeO(OH) + 3H <sup>+</sup>

## **Unique Forms of Corrosion**

Oxygen concentration cells Tubercules

#### Treatment

Drilling — Oxygen scavengers

Producing wells — Corrosion inhibitors; elimination of oxygen sources, oxygen scavengers Flowlines — Corrosion inhibitors; elimination of oxygen sources, oxygen scavengers



# **OXYGEN CORROSION**

Oxygen is an extremely corrosive gas in produced brines, even more corrosive than CO<sub>2</sub>. Oxygen corrosion (Figure II-I) usually results in iron oxide corrosion by-products, usually hematite, goethite, and magnetite.



Figure II-1: Oxygen Corrosion

Typically, the corrosive by-products are a reddish brown rust (Figure II-2).



Figure II-2: Oxygen Corrosion in a Flowline



A close-up view shows the typical pits (Figure II-3).



Figure II-3: Typical Pits

On cleaning, the shallow saucer-like pits are seen (Figure II-4).



Figure II-4: Shallow Saucer-like Pits



Oxygen corrosion on sucker rods are large areas of metal loss (Figure II-5 and Figure II-6).

Figure II-5: Areas of Metal Loss on Sucker Rods



Figure II-6: Areas of Metal Loss on Sucker Rods



Typical rust-colored deposits taken from a water line in a mining operation are shown in Figure II-7.



Figure II-7: Typical Rust-colored Deposits

After cleaning, saucer-like deposits are seen (Figure II-8).



Figure II-8: Saucer-like Deposits and Pits





Another example of oxygen corrosion is in this 36" pipeline (Figure II-9).

Figure II-9: Oxygen Corrosion in a 36" Pipeline

Oxygen is the most corrosive gas in the presence of water (see Chart 2). Although the maximum dissolved oxygen in the water is only 8 ppm, dissolved  $CO_2$  can increase to 800 ppm and dissolved  $H_2S$  to 400 ppm.

Preventing the ingress of oxygen into the system can usually control oxygen corrosion. In addition, use of oxygen scavengers are notably effective in drilling operations where oxygen is a significant problem. Oxygen scavengers are also very effective in salt-water disposal systems where oxygen ingress can cause serious downhole and flowline corrosion failures.



#### Chart 2



# **III. HYDROGEN SULFIDE CORROSION CHARACTERISTICS**

## Forms of Attack

Uniform —	FeS <sub>2</sub> film
Pits —	Conical shaped
	Pit bottoms sharp
	Edges etched and slightly sloped
	Sides etched

#### **Corrosion Products**

Black, blue-black iron sulfide Fe  $_{1-x}$  S pyrite, greigite, mackinwaite Kansite, iron oxide (Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>), magnetite, sulfur (S) Sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>)

#### **Corrosion Reactions**

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{H}_2\mathsf{S}(\mathsf{g}) + \mathsf{Fe} + \mathsf{H}_2\mathsf{O}_{\Rightarrow} \quad \mathsf{Fe}_{1-\mathsf{x}} \; \mathsf{S} \; (\mathsf{Prec.}) + 2\mathsf{H}^+ \\ \mathsf{Fe}_{1-\mathsf{x}} \; \mathsf{S} + \mathsf{O}_{2}_{\Rightarrow} \quad \mathsf{Fe}_3\mathsf{O}_4 + \mathsf{SO}_2 \end{array}$ 

#### **Unique Forms of Corrosion**

Sulfide stress cracking Hydrogen blistering Hydrogen embrittlement Stepwise cracking

### Treatment

Drilling — High pH, Zn treatments Production — Corrosion inhibitors Flowlines — Corrosion inhibitors, hydrogen sulfide scavengers



# HYDROGEN SULFIDE CORROSION

Hydrogen sulfide ( $H_2S$ ) in produced brines generates hydrogen ions and HS ions. The hydrogen ions, being acidic, attack steel.  $H_2S$  corrosion is typified by pitting, which takes the form of shallow round depressions with etched bottoms (Figure III-1).



Figure III-1: Hydrogen Sulfide (H<sub>2</sub>S) Corrosion

In addition, the sides of the pits are sloped. A quick test to detect  $H_2S$  corrosion is to test the fluids for  $H_2S$ . A few drops of hydrochloric acid with arsenious oxide on the surface deposits turns yellow if FeS<sub>2</sub> is present.

Some examples of the hydrogen sulfide attack are shown in Figure III-2.



Figure III-2: Example of H<sub>2</sub>S Attack



Also associated with  $\rm H_2S$  attack are some crystals of FeS\_2 (Figure III-3).



Figure III-3: FeS Crystals



 $H_2S$  attack on sucker rods can cause breaks because of corrosion fatigue (Figure III-4 and Figure III-5).



Figure III-4: H<sub>2</sub>S Attack on Sucker Rods



Figure III-5: H<sub>2</sub>S Attack on Sucker Rods Followed by Corrosion Fatigue Break



Sulfide stress cracking occurs when  $H_2S$  corrosion is accelerated by stresses (Figure III-6).

Figure III-6: Sulfide Stress Cracking

Alternating stresses can propagate notches, which are initiated by corrosion. An example of corrosion fatigue occurred in sucker rod connectors (Figure III-7).



Figure III-7: Corrosion Fatigue in Sucker Rod Connectors



A cross-section shows how deep the cracking can propagate into the metal, with a very small opening (Figure III-8 and Figure III-9).



Figure III-8: Crack Propagation



Figure III-9: Stress Cracks



An unusual instance of sulfide stress cracking occurred with  $H_2S$  in a kill string. During acidizing, the ends of the kill string cracked where the connectors were hardened. The field used brine, which contained  $H_2S$ , with the acid. The acid inhibitor was not effective, and all the joints cracked (Figure III-10).



Figure III-10: A Failure Caused by Sulfide Stress Cracking of a Kill String



Drilling collars and the drilling operation are also subject to sulfide stress cracking. Drilling stresses can cause failures. Figure III-11 shows a failure of a casing collar attributed to  $H_2S$  stress cracking.



Figure III-11: Sulfide Stress Cracking of a Casing Collar

NACE document MR 01-75 defines the sulfide stress cracking region based on  $H_2S$  concentration and total line pressures (Chart 3).



**Chart 3: Sulfide Stress Cracking Region** 



Hydrogen, either from the corrosion process or during manufacture, can enter the metal and concentrate internally in high-stress areas, making the steel extremely brittle. Hydrogen embrittlement fractures are catastrophic because very little metal loss occurs before the break. Figure III-12 is an example of hydrogen embrittlement of a drill collar.

On high strength steels in acid environments a phenomenon called hydrogen embrittlement can occur. The mechanism is similar to sulfide stress cracking except  $H_2S$  may not be present.

Another occurrence of failures with high strength steel is hydrogen induced cracking (HIC), also known as stepwise cracking. In low strength steels, HIC can occur in wet, sour environments. Figure III-12 shows a cross-section of a pressure vessel plate with cracking initiated at an inclusion site. A related type of failure is stress-oriented hydrogen induced cracking (SOHIC). SOHIC occurs in tanks around welded outlets in corrosive service when the welds are highly stressed.



Figure III-12: Hydrogen Embrittlement



Austenic stainless steels such as SS 304 and SS 316 are susceptible to chloride stress cracking in hot aqueous chloridecontaining environments. An SS 304 flowline handling a chloride-containing brine cracked at the highly stressed threaded areas (Figure III-13).



Figure III-13: Chloride Stress Corrosion in Stainless Steel



Stress corrosion cracking has three main avenues of control, namely mechanical, metallurgical, and environmental (Chart 4).



#### **Chart 4: Approaches to Stress Corrosion Control**



# **IV. MICROORGANISM INFLUENCED CORROSION CHARACTERISTICS**

### Forms of Attack

Pits — Irregular shaped Sloped edges Satiny bottom Etched sides Terraced sides

### **Corrosion Products**

Iron sulfides, Sulfate reducing bacteria (SRB) Slime Growths of bacteria

## **Corrosion Mechanisms**

Cathodic depolarization Cathodic FeS<sub>2</sub> Concentration cells Sour corrosion

## **Unique Forms of MIC**

Stainless steel pitting Slime Plugging

## Treatment

Drilling — Biocides Production — Biocides, chlorine dioxide Flowlines — Biocides, chlorine dioxide Cost considerations — Continuous vs. batch EPA Biostat vs. biocide



# **MICROORGANISM INFLUENCED CORROSION**

Another facet of corrosion is microorganism influenced corrosion (MIC) (Figure IV-1).



Figure IV-1: Microorganism Influenced Corrosion



MIC occurs under bacterial growths and the exact mechanism is still open to discussion. In some cases, the bacteria produce acids, which cause the corrosion. In other cases with SRB,  $H_2S$  is produced, which can cause  $H_2S$  corrosion. With CO<sub>2</sub>, some typical CO<sub>2</sub> attacking can occur because of the breakdown of the film by the bacteria. Bacterial attack is usually characterized by rounded pits with etched sides, edges, and bottoms (Figure IV-2 and Figure IV-3).



Figure IV-2: Bacterial Attack Characteristics



**Figure IV-3: Bacterial Attack Characteristics** 



At times, the pits have a terraced effect (Figure IV-4 and Figure IV-5).



Figure IV-4: The Terraced Effect



Figure IV-5: Another Example of the Terraced Effect



One of the quick tests that can be run for SRB is the pipe cleaner test. Examples with positive results for the pipe cleaner test are shown in Figure IV-6 and Figure IV-7.



Figure IV-6: Pipe Cleaner Test Example



Figure IV-7: Pipe Cleaner Test Examples



Other examples of MIC attack in conjunction with  $CO_2$  are shown in Figure IV-8.



Figure IV-8: MIC Attack in Conjunction with  $\rm CO_2$  Attack



In most cases, MIC attack occurs at the bottom of the line where water accumulates. However, MIC has also been detected in the 3 o'clock to 9 o'clock position, where there was a possible water and oil interface (Figure IV-9 and Figure IV-10).



Figure IV-9: MIC Detected in the 3 o'clock Position



Figure IV-10: MIC Detected in the 9 o'clock Position



One of the failures had detectable black growths, which tested positive for SRB, and had corrosion pits beneath the growth (Figure IV-11).



Figure IV-11: Detectable Black Growth



## **OBTAINING AND ENUMERATING A SAMPLE OF SESSILE BACTERIA**

I. Obtain the surface containing sessile bacteria and carry out the following procedure as quickly as possible (Note I).

2. Using a scalpel that has been sterilized by soaking in ethyl or isopropyl alcohol, thoroughly scrape the surface (Note 2).

3. Place the scraping into a half-ounce bottle that contains 1 gm of glass beads (diameter = 2 mm) and 10 ml of sterilized field water or other appropriate fluid (Note 3) and is equipped with a tight screw cap (Note 4).

4. Vigorously shake the contents 500 times.

5. Using I-ml volumes out of the half-ounce bottle, make serial dilutions into the appropriate media as described in your Nalco Microbiology Guide.

6. Report results in counts/cm<sup>2</sup>.

Notes:

I. Speed is necessary to minimize exposure of the sample to air. Using many different surfaces is possible including pipe walls, pits on a pipe wall, studs from Robbins devices, and corrosion coupons.

2. Minimize the handling of the sample as much as possible. Samples should be held with pre-sterilized forceps, if possible. Scrape 0.5 to 2.0 cm<sup>2</sup> of the surface.

3. If sterilized field water is not available, or is impractical, a sterilized solution of similar salinity with pH of 7.0 can be substituted. Following are the ingredients for this formulation:

K <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub>	6.06 g
KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>	0.4 g
Cysteine HCl	0.5 g
Tween 80	I.0 ml
NaCl	30 gm or 80 gm <sup>(1)</sup>
Water	Add up to 1,000 ml by volume

 Use the strength that more closely approximates the total brine strength of the field. Sterilized sealed half-ounce bottles containing this formulation and glass beads can be obtained from several commercial sources.

4. If the surface to be scraped is small enough (such as a stud formulation from a Robbins device or biofilm probe), the scraped area may be put into the half-ounce bottle after scraping.

5. By measuring the amount of surface area that was scraped and observing the number of vials in the serial dilution that indicate growth, the number of bacteria per unit of surface area can be determined.



# V. HYDROCHLORIC ACID (STRONG ACID) CORROSION CHARACTERISTICS

#### **Corrosion Examples from Refining Industry**

Each example of hydrochloric acid (low pH — strong acid) corrosion can actually represent several different mechanisms contributing to the total corrosion attack. The following represents general examples from the refining industry.

### Form of Corrosion

Uniform acid etching Localized — Round bottom pits caused by partial water wetting Under deposit — Salts such as ammonium chloride

#### **Corrosion Products**

Black iron sulfide, (FeS) Iron chloride (Fe Cl<sub>2</sub>)

### **Corrosion Reactions**

 $\begin{array}{l} 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + 2\text{HCI} + \text{Fe} \longleftrightarrow \text{FeCl}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{H}2\uparrow \\ \text{FeCl}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{S} \longleftrightarrow \text{FeS} + 2\text{HCI} \end{array}$ 

#### **Unique Forms of Corrosion**

Often referred to as orange peel look Chloride stress corrosion of stainless steel

#### Treatment

Reduce chlorides — Desalting, caustic Neutralize acid at dew point Dilute acid and dissolve salts with wash water

#### **Examples of Corrosion Locations**

Crude units Vacuum units Straight-run distillation Hydro-desulfurization (HDS) Alkylation NGL gas plants



# HYDROCHLORIC ACID CORROSION — LOW pH AQUEOUS CORROSION

Acid attack is the largest corrosion challenge on a crude distillation unit. Most of the corrosion damage to a crude unit occurs in the first one or two exchanger sets in the crude unit overhead. Some crude units are also challenged by corrosion in the top of the crude tower or even in the overhead piping before the first exchangers. The corrosion is always due to low pH acids, but the physical and chemical mechanism can vary. Several descriptions describe the mechanisms, but these expressions are frequently misused.

This misuse has caused confusion in the industry, making it difficult to understand critical aspects of any corrosion control program. Following are the physical and chemical conditions that match these descriptions:

• Shock Condensation Corrosion — This corrosion is associated with strong acid, low pH corrosion in an area where the hot vapor stream is contacted with a cooled reflux stream or pumparound stream. Before the liquid and hot vapor reach thermal equilibrium, some of the metal surfaces are cooled enough to condense water. The location of this corrosion is usually one or two trays in the tower below the naphtha reflux or the top pumparound.

• Under Deposit Corrosion — This corrosion is associated with the deposition of ammonium, amine, or acidic iron chloride above the dew point of water. The area of attack often is in hot, dry exchangers or in the tower at temperatures from 20°F/11°C to 50°F/28°C above the estimated water dew point. In addition, any isolated area with limited access to vapor or liquid flow might have this type of corrosion.

• Initial Condensation Corrosion — With this corrosion, a high level of corrosion is associated with the first condensation of water as the overhead vapor is normally condensed in a crude overhead system. The area of attack is usually in the top exchanger(s) of a crude unit overhead.



# HYDROCHLORIC ACID (LOW pH — STRONG ACID) CORROSION

Figure V-1 shows an example of hydrochloric acid and hydrogen sulfide corrosion. This sample of a carbon steel overhead line came from a horizontal section of the overhead system. The top half of the line had severe metal loss in the form of pitting and generalized corrosion. The bottom half of the line showed no corrosion.



Figure V-1: Hydrochloric Acid and Hydrogen Sulfide Corrosion

Closer inspection of the pits shows smooth depressions with gently sloping sidewalls and smooth bottoms (Figure V-2).



Figure V-2: Closer Inspection of the Pits



Possible causes of corrosion in these caps are hydrogen sulfide and ammonium chloride deposits (Figure V-3 and Figure V-4).



Figure V-3: 13 Cr Stainless Steel (UNS410) Bubble Cap Corrosion



Figure V-4: Close-up of Pitting Corrosion



Figure V-5 shows an example of ammonium chloride underdeposit corrosion.



Figure V-5: Ammonium Chloride Corrosion on Carbon Steel Heat Exchanger Tubes

The pits with narrow openings and deep cavenous voids are typical of hydrochloric acid pitting (Figure V-6).



Figure V-6: Pits with Narrow Openings and Deep Cavernous Voids



# NAPHTHENIC ACID CORROSION

Figure V-7 and Figure V-8 show SS410 (13Cr) tray cap parts that are severely deteriorated by ammonium chloride, and the hydrogen sulfide that formed the metal sulfides found on the surface after the alloy had been degraded.



Figure V-7: Ammonium Chloride Corrosion on SS410 Trays



Figure V-8: Ammonium Chloride Corrosion on SS410 Trays



304L Stainless steel tray-stressed corrosion cracking failure. Corrosion was pitting attack attributed to hydrogen sulfides or chlorides.



Figure V-9: 304L Stainless Steel Tray



Figure V-10: Stress Corrosion Cracking Failure



Figure V-11 and V-12 is an example of underdeposit corrosion by chlorides, sulfides, and ammonia.



Figure V-11: Carbon Steel Trays — HCI Corrosion



Figure V-12: Underdeposit Corrosion — Note the thinning cleaned surface



Figure V-13 shows a carbon steel upper tray with an orange peel corrosion pattern. Deposits indicate corrosion mechanisms could have been sulfidation, oxidation, or ammonium chloride.



Figure V-13: Sulfidation/Oxidation or Ammonium Chloride



Figure V-14 shows an example of a carbon steel heat exchanger tube in pre-flash overhead with external underdeposit corrosion.



Figure V-14. Underdeposit Corrosion

In Figure V-15, pitting and gouging are most likely from acid penetration of fouled surface. Note the internal surface showed no corrosion.



Figure V-15: Pitting and Gouging - External Surface


# **VI. NAPHTHENIC ACID CORROSION CHARACTERISTICS**

### Form of Corrosion

Can be pitting, gouging, or grooving

#### **Corrosion Products**

Iron naphthenates, possible iron sulfide

#### **Corrosion Reactions**

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Fe} + 2R = COOH \rightarrow \mbox{Fe} \ (OOC-R)_2 + H_2 \\ \mbox{FeS} + 2R - COOH \rightarrow \mbox{Fe} \ (OOC-R)_2 + H_2 S \\ \mbox{FeO} + 2R - COOH \rightarrow \mbox{Fe} \ (OOC-R)_2 + H_2 O \ (not \ as \ common) \\ \mbox{Fe} \ (OOC-R)_2 + H_2 S \rightarrow \mbox{FeS} + \ RCOOH \ (regenerated \ naphthenic \ acid) \end{array}$ 

### **Unique Forms of Corrosion**

Usually found in areas of velocity or turbulence, and at vapor and liquid interface

#### Treatment

Improve metallurgy Add high temperature stable chemical corrosion inhibitors specifically designed for protection against naphthenic acid

### **Examples of Corrosion Locations**

Crude units Vacuum units Lube oil extraction units



### NAPHTHENIC ACID CORROSION

Figure VI-1 shows an example of Naphthenic Acid attack on a 14Cr Tray.



Figure VI-1: Naphthenic Acid attack on 14Cr Tray

Upgrade in metallurgy or corrosion inhibitor required to resist naphthenic acid corrosion (Figure VI-2).



Figure VI-2: Typical Pitting From Naphthenic Acid Corrosion on Heater Plugs



# **VII. HIGH pH CORROSION CHARACTERISTICS**

Primarily caused by  $H_2S$ Can be accelerated by cyanides in some systems

#### Form of Corrosion

Pitting, blistering, and cracking

### **Corrosion Products**

FeS

#### **Corrosion Reactions**

(High pH)  $2H_2S + 2NH_3 \leftrightarrow 2NH_4^+ + 2HS^-$  (bisulfide ion)  $2NH_4^+ + 2HS^- + Fe \leftrightarrow FeS \lor + H_2 \uparrow + (NH_4)_2S$   $Fe + 2HS^- \Rightarrow FeS \lor + S^{-2} + 2H^0$   $2H^0 \Rightarrow H_2 \uparrow$  $FeS + 6CN^- \Rightarrow Fe(CN)^{-4}_6 + S^{-2}$  (if cyanide present)

### **Unique Forms of Corrosion**

Sulfide Stress Cracking (SSC) Sulfide Stress Corrosion Cracking (SSCC) Hydrogen Induced Cracking (HIC) Stress-Oriented Hydrogen-Induced Cracking (SOHIC)

### Treatment

Dilute with water wash Apply filming amines/corrosion inhibitors (filming amines — a specific type of the more generically used corrosion inhibitors) Use polysulfide to remove cyanides when present Apply metal passivation chemistry (amine units)

### **Examples of Corrosion Locations**

FCC and FCC gas plants Cokers Sour water strippers Hydrocrackers Hydro-desulfurization (HDS)



Figure VII-1 and Figure VII-2 show an example of hydrogen sulfide attack on 90Cu-10Ni tubes leading to failure.



Figure VII-1: De-alloying Tubes — H<sub>2</sub>S Corrosion 90-10 Cu:Ni Heat Exchanger Tubes



Figure VII-2: Close-up of De-alloying Tubes —  $\rm H_2S$  Corrosion 90-10 Cu:Ni Heat Exchanger Tubes



# **VIII. MISCELLANEOUS EXAMPLES OF CORROSION**

### **Erosion**—**Corrosion**

Overhead line tee section Overhead exchanger tubes Amine unit

Storage Tank Corrosion

**Crude Preheat Corrosion** 

**Product Pipeline Corrosion** 

**Aluminum Brass Stress Cracking** 

**UAN Corrosion** 

Fuel Storage Tank Corrosion



### **MISCELLANEOUS EXAMPLES OF CORROSION**

Figure VIII-1 shows an example of a carbon steel tee showing signs of erosion corrosion. The horseshoe-shaped pits indicate the direction of flow.



Figure VIII-1: Erosion Corrosion — Carbon Steel Tee

Figure VIII-2 shows a close-up of the pits after cleaning. Corrosion by-products in the pits suggest the corrosive agents were ammonium chloride and hydrogen sulfide.



Figure VIII-2: Close-up Pits after Cleaning



In this example of erosion-corrosion, fluids are channeled through the perforations in the diffuser plate, causing localized impingement sites. FeS film is continually eroded off, replaced, and eroded off again (Figure VIII-3).



Figure VIII-3: Erosion-Corrosion — Carbon Steel Overhead Exchanger Tubes



### **EROSION — CORROSION IN A DEA STRIPPER**

Metal loss was confined to a DEA impingement zone. Corrosion was attributed to carbon dioxide in the DEA (Figure VIII-4).



Figure VIII-4: Erosion-Corrosion — DEA Stripper Tower Wall

Figure VIII-5 shows the large areas that were eroded, leaving a smooth surface.



Figure VIII-5: Large Areas Eroded



### **CRUDE STORAGE TANK — CORROSION**

SRB's and iron oxidizing bacteria attack carbon steel storage tank bottom floor (Figure VIII-6).



Figure VIII-6: Crude Storage Tank Failure Most Likely from Wet Oxygenated Soils



## **CRUDE PREHEAT CORROSION**

Figure VIII-7 shows an example of a carbon steel exchanger tube from a crude pre-heat train (tubeside: crude oil, shell side: hot naphthas).

- Pits were round with smooth side walls and etched bottoms.
- Corrosion by-products were iron oxides, iron sulfides, and iron carbonates, suggesting  $0_2$ ,  $H_2S$ , and  $CO_2$  as the corrosive species.
- Internal deposits contained calcium, magnesium, chlorine, sodium, and silicon probably from water in the crude.



Figure VIII-7: Oxygen, H<sub>2</sub>S, and CO<sub>2</sub> Corrosion



## **PRODUCT PIPELINE CORROSION**

Underdeposit etching was attributed to sulfur containing species and oxygenated fuel in transported fuels (Figure VIII-8 and Figure VIII-9).



Figure VIII-8: Carbon Steel Product Pipeline Corrosion



Figure VIII-9: Close-up of Carbon Steel Product Pipeline Corrosion



## **ALUMINUM BRASS STRESS CRACKING**

Aluminum brass crude overhead heat exchanger tubes show signs of stress cracking (Figure VIII-10 and Figure VIII-11). There was no evidence of corrosion affecting the tubes. Therefore this was probably due to:

- Tubes overstressed during installation.
- Thermal stressing during hydrotest and operations.
- Operational stresses, such as water, hammering, and vibration.



Figure VIII-10: Aluminum Brass Stress Cracking



Figure VIII-11: Aluminum Brass Stress Cracking



## **UAN CORROSION**

Figure VIII-12 and Figure VIII-13 shows an example of UAN corrosion. Note how the corrosion is more severe at the tank edges and along the plate boundaries.



Figure VIII-12: UAN Corrosion — Carbon Steel Storage Tank



Figure VIII-13: Close-up View of the Corrosion at a Plate Boundary



Figure VIII-14 shows an example of corrosion on a carbon steel storage tank bottom from concentrated UAN



Figure VIII-14: UAN Corrosion on Carbon Steel Storage Tanks



## FUEL STORAGE TANK CORROSION

Figure VIII-15 and Figure VIII-16 shows an example of corrosion by pitting at the water layer in the bottom of diesel steel storage tanks. Possible mechanisms for pitting include microorganism influenced corrosion and/or galvanic corrosion due to sulfide inclusions in the steel.



Figure VIII-15: Fuel Storage Tank Corrosion



Figure VIII-16: Fuel Storage Tank Corrosion



Figure VIII-17 is a photomicrograph at 100X showing the cavernous pits, carbides, and manganese sulfide stringers.



Figure VIII-17: Fuel Storage Tank Corrosion

Figure VIII-18 shows another section of the bottom of the fuel tank where corrosion had not occurred. Note the relative cleanliness as compared to figure VIII-17.



Figure VIII-18: Fuel Storage Tank Corrosion



# **IX.WATERSIDE FAILURES IN THE REFINING INDUSTRY**

### **Steam Generating Systems**

Steam generating systems include conventional fired boilers and boilers that generate steam from hot process streams such as transfer line exchangers. Several different failure mechanisms may occur on boiler tubing used in such systems. Case histories describing a few of the possible mechanisms are presented below. More detailed descriptions, and additional boiler system failure mechanisms, are presented in *The Nalco Guide to Boiler Failure Analysis*.

Case History #1 — Failure of a Steam Generator Tube Due to Caustic Corrosion System — Waste heat steam generator (transfer line exchanger) Specimen — Steam generator tube Orientation — Vertical Material — Carbon steel Time in service — 4 years System age — 20 years Environment Internal — Quenching gases Temperature — 1,000°-1,500°F (538° - 816°C) Pressure — 75 psi External — Water and steam mixture Temperature — 650°F (343°C) Pressure — 1,500 psi Chemical treatment — Phosphate/caustic

Deep, localized wastage occurred at the ends of several tubes at the bottom of a transfer line exchanger, causing two failures (Figure IX-1). Thick layers of deposits accumulated on the bottom tubesheet of this exchanger (Figures IX-2 and Figure IX-3). These deposits insulated the tubes and tubesheet, and prevented the rinsing away of caustic and other aggressive alkaline salts following steam generation. The alkaline salts concentrated to levels as high as the percent ranges to remove protective iron oxide layers and to attack the steel.



Figure IX-1: Localized Metal Loss at End of Tube that Contacted Bottom Tubesheet





Figure IX-2: Transfer Line Exchanger



Figure IX-3: Tubesheet where Corrosion Occurred



### Reactions

 $4NaOH + Fe_{3}O_{4} \rightarrow 2NaFeO_{2} + Na_{2}FeO_{2} + 2H_{2}O$ 

 $Fe + 2NaOH \rightarrow Na_2FeO_2 + H_2$ 

Alkaline deposits, containing sodium, covered the surface in the corroded area (Figure IX-4). Metallographic analysis revealed the presence of magnetite needles and metallic copper on the corroded surface.



Figure IX-4: Corrosion Products in Wasted Area Containing Magnetite Needles and Metallic Copper Particles

Corrosion may also occur on tubes along the top tubesheet in vertical steam generators due to the formation and entrapment of a stable steam blanket. Water boils away to leave behind dissolved solids and a concentrated solution of caustic, alkaline, or even acidic salts.

### **Corrosion Control Measures**

Clean and maintain clean tubesheet surfaces. Alter the design and operation of the exchanger to prevent the formation and entrapment of a steam blanket. Since no corrosion occurred away from the tube ends, boiler water chemistry and treatment was proper and did not contribute to the corrosion.



### Case History #2 — Idle Time Oxygen Corrosion in a Power Boiler

System — Power generating boiler Specimen — Riser tube Orientation — Vertical Material — Carbon steel Time in service — 30 years System age — 30 years Environment Internal — Boiler water Pressure — 850 psi Chemical treatment — Polymer, organic oxygen scavenger External — Gas turbine exhaust with supplementary refinery or natural gas as needed Temperature — 2,500°F (1371°C) maximum furnace temperature Chemical treatment — None

A tube section was removed from the generating bank for surveillance. The internal surface of the section was generally covered with thin, uniform layers of black iron oxides and deposits (Figure IX-5). The uniform layers were interrupted in scattered sites by mounds or tubercles of black iron oxide (Figure IX-6). The presence of iron oxide tubercles indicated that oxygen corrosion occurred at the tubercle sites, resulting from exposure to waters containing excessive concentrations of dissolved oxygen. Removing the layers and tubercles revealed a mostly smooth, unattacked internal surface that was interrupted by moderately deep depressions where the tubercles were present (Figure IX-7).



Figure IX-5: Bottom-half Section Showing Internal Surface Covered with Uniform Layer of Black Iron Oxide Interrupted by Scattered Iron Oxide Tubercles





Figure IX-6: Iron Oxide Tubercle with No Flow Orientation



Figure IX-7: Depressions Formed at Tubercle Sites Revealed by Cleaning



The presence of black iron oxide in most areas indicated that oxygen concentration was low during boiler operation. In watertube boilers containing steam drums, it is extremely rare for significant oxygen corrosion to occur within the generating bank during boiler operation. Oxygen introduced to the drum in the feedwater tends to flash off with the steam in the drum. In addition, the scattered nature and small population of the tubercles indicated that oxygen corrosion did not occur during boiler operation. This evidence, and the lack of flow orientation of the tubercles, indicated that oxygen corrosion occurred during idle periods when water was present inside the tubes and was oxygenated by in-leaked air. Orange, brown or red are the colors of hematite, an iron oxide with a higher oxidation state than the black magnetite that normally forms on surfaces when boiler water contains the proper low dissolved oxygen concentration. Hematite formed during idle periods may be subsequently overlaid with or even reduced to a black magnetite during subsequent boiler operation.

In some cases, idle time oxygen corrosion may be identified by the pattern and nature of iron oxide formation. Figure IX-8 shows the internal surface of a horizontally-oriented economizer tube that experienced shallow metal loss within narrow strips along the bottom. During idle periods the boiler was drained and a shallow pool of water remained along the tube bottom. Air leaked into the boiler and oxygenated the residual water to cause oxygen corrosion that produced orange-brown iron oxide corrosion products.



Figure IX-8: Localized Strip of Orange-brown Iron Oxide along bottom of Horizontal Tube

### Reaction

 $2Fe + H_2O + O_2 + Fe_2O_3$  (hematite) + 2H



### **Corrosion Control**

Idle time oxygen corrosion may be controlled through use of proper lay-up practice. This may include inert gas (nitrogen) blanketing and the proper use of oxygen scavenging chemicals. Such chemicals may be fed to higher levels than used during boiler operation, and may require monitoring, replenishment and circulation. Oxygen corrosion during boiler operation requires proper feedwater deaeration and oxygen scavenging practice.

### Case History #3 — Corrosion Fatigue Cracking of a Steam Generator Tube

System — Unspecified boiler Specimen — Waterwall tube on the side wall, 10 tubes from the front burner Orientation — Vertical Material — Carbon steel Time in service — 12 years System age — 12 years Environment Internal — Water and steam mixture Temperature — 500°F (260°C) Pressure — 600 psi Chemical treatment — Polymer/sulfite External — Unspecified fuel Temperature — 1,300°- 1,475°F (704° - 802°C)

Multiple leaks occurred in tubes located near the received section of tubing. Regions containing families of moderately deep fissures were present on the hot side internal surface beneath thick deposit layers (Figures IX-9 and Figure IX-10). The fissures propagated transgranularly through the microstructure and were filled with dense oxide containing a centerline crack (Figure IX-11). These features indicated the fissures were caused by corrosion fatigue cracking.



Figure IX-9: Heavy Deposit Layers Covering Internal Surface of Boiler Tube





Figure IX-10: Numerous Transversely Oriented Fissures on Internal Surface



Figure IX-11: Fissure Caused by Corrosion Fatigue Cracking Filled with Dense Oxide Containing Centerline Crack



Corrosion fatigue cracking of boiler tubing results from the application of cyclic tensile stresses; the mechanism can be described as follows. Initially, the surfaces of the metal are covered with a thin layer of dense oxide (Figure IX-12a). Sufficient tensile stresses will result in fracture of the protective iron oxide layers covering the surfaces (Figure IX-12b). Where the oxide layer fractures, bare metal is exposed which immediately oxidizes (Figure IX-12c). Under subsequent stress cycles, oxide fracture and reformation occurs repeatedly at the same location to allow the cracks to grow (Figure IX-12d). No specific corrodents are required for corrosion fatigue to occur, as the protective iron oxide layer will form by reaction with water and/or steam. Although corrosion fatigue may be accelerated by oxygen corrosion, dissolved oxygen in the boiler water is not required for cracking to occur.



Figure IX-12: Illustration of the Mechanism of Corrosion Fatigue Cracking

- (a) Normally Protective Oxide Layer on the Metal Surface
- (b) Application of a Tensile Stress Cracks the Oxide Layer
- (c) Exposed Metal at the Tip of the Crack Oxidizes
- (d) Repeated, Cycling Tensile Stresses Cause the Fissure to Grow

Cyclic tensile stresses can result from a number of sources that include varying bending or tensile loads, vibrations, or temperature or pressure changes. The transverse orientation of the fissures indicated that cyclic bending stresses were responsible for cracking, as the fissures propagate in a direction perpendicular to the principle stresses. The fissures were only present on the internal surface, indicating that localized temperature changes related to the formation and collapse of a semi-stable steam blanket were likely responsible for cracking. Changing metal temperatures caused contraction and expansion of the tube metal, generating cyclic stresses. A small amount of sodium was identified in the internal surface deposits, indicating that evaporation to dryness occurred. The fissures were localized to an area slightly displaced from the hot side tube crown, likely the side facing toward the burner where heat input would be greatest.



Cyclic operation of boilers, including frequent start-ups and shut-downs or peaking service, can also result in corrosion fatigue cracking. Cycling changes in internal pressure of the system would tend to produce corrosion fatigue fissures having a longitudinal orientation if the resulting hoop stresses are sufficient to cause cracking.

### **Control Measures**

The morphology of the fissures produced by corrosion fatigue cracking depends on numerous factors. Blunt, wedgeshaped cracks are generally associated with cyclic stresses of low frequency and/or stress level. Conversely, tight, narrow cracks are typically produced by cyclic stresses of high frequency and/or stress levels. A large number of fissures may also suggest high-level stresses. Crack arrest points, or nodes, present along the fissures indicate different periods of crack growth interrupted by corrosive attack.

Stresses may concentrate at locations of physical constraint and surface discontinuities, such as depressions and notches. The fissures were localized to shallow depressions caused by old oxygen corrosion that occurred during boiler idle time (Figure IX-I3). These shallow depressions acted as stress concentrators, promoting nucleation sites for fissures.



Figure IX-13: Numerous Fissures Initiating from Shallow Depressions Caused by Old Idle Time Oxygen Corrosion

Preventing the steam blanketing condition responsible for cracking would eliminate corrosion fatigue cracking. This may be accomplished by chemical cleaning to remove the insulating internal surface deposits and ensuring adequate coolant flow for the heat input. In general, eliminating or reducing corrosion fatigue cracking is accomplished by identifying and decreasing the cyclic stresses responsible for cracking.



### Case History #4 — Failure of a Boiler Deaerator Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking

System — Deaerator Specimen — Dome section from degasifier Orientation — Horizontal Material — 304L stainless steel Time in service — 4 years System age — 4 years Environment Internal — Wet steam, possible splashing of returned condensate Temperature — 250°F (121°C) Pressure — 14 psi Chemical treatment — None to the deaerator and degasifier section External — Ambient air, surface covered with insulation

Numerous fissures and cracks formed in the dome of the degasifier section of a deaerator, requiring replacement of the entire dome (Figure IX-14). The system was shut down for four weeks before failure, during which time the deaerator was kept hot with steam feed. No failures occurred when the unit was restarted. However, after four days of operation several cracks penetrated through the dome. The internal surface was also covered with substantial amounts of iron oxide corrosion products that formed in place. These corrosion products overlaid shallow depressions (Figure IX-15).



Figure IX-14: Cracks on Internal Surface Covered with Iron Oxide Corrosion Products





Figure IX-15: Iron Oxide Corrosion Products Removed to Reveal Depressions

Metallographic cross-sections revealed that the cracks were transgranular, highly branched and penetrated from the internal surface outward (Figure IX-16). This revealed that the fissures and cracks formed due to stress corrosion cracking.



Figure IX-16: Metallographic Cross-section Revealing Branched, Transgranular Cracks Penetrating Outward from Internal Surface



Stress corrosion cracking results from exposure of a particular alloy to specific corrodents. Stresses that are required to cause cracking may be applied, or may be residual stresses within the metal that are supplied by original metal forming operations and welding.

The possible corrodents that may have caused cracking in a deaerator include chloride, and caustic or other alkaline salts. Neither of these agents should have been present in the degasifier section. However, contamination of the steam, condensate or feedwater may have occurred, possibly on an intermittent basis. Corrodents may have concentrated to corrosive levels due to evaporation. No residue of either chloride or alkaline salts was found on the internal surface. This is not unusual, since such agents are very water soluble and would wash away after exposure when exposed to normal, noncontaminated water.

The most probable corrodent responsible for stress corrosion cracking was determined to be chloride for several reasons.

- The threshold concentration of chloride necessary to cause cracking may be quite low at the normal operating temperatures of the deaerator. Cracking may occur at chloride concentration as low as 20 ppm at 200°F (93°C).
- Caustic stress corrosion cracking rarely occurs at metal temperatures below 300°F (149°C) and generally requires concentrations of caustic in the percent levels. It is improbable that either condition was met in this system.
- 3) The presence of depressions and oxide corrosion products on the internal surface would have formed due to corrosion by chloride. Caustic would not tend to cause such corrosion.

### **Control of Stress Corrosion Cracking**

Since chloride stress corrosion cracking may occur at even low concentrations at normal operating temperatures, it is recommended that deaerators and water-wetted components throughout boilers should not be constructed of austenitic stainless steels. Aside from this recommendation, chloride stress corrosion cracking may be prevented only by ensuring that no chloride gets into the deaerator, which will be very difficult and expensive to accomplish.

#### Case History #5 — Overheating in a Power Boiler

System — Power generating boiler Specimen — Rear wall tube Orientation — Vertical Material — Carbon steel Time in service — 26 years System age — 26 years Environment Internal — Boiler water Pressure — 1,200 psi Chemical treatment — Equilibrium phosphate, organic oxygen scavenger External — Natural gas fired Temperature — 2,200°F (1204°C) maximum furnace temperature Chemical Treatment — None



Two bulges formed within a localized area on the hot side of a boiler wall tube (Figure IX-17). The bulges occurred in a tube that was located near a burner. Internal deposition was light in all areas except at the bulges (Figure IX-18).



Figure IX-17: Localized Bulges on Hot Side of Wall Tube



Figure IX-18: Localized Deposition at Bulge Site



Metallographic analysis indicated that the original pearlite microstructure of the tube was maintained in all locations except the bulges (Figure IX-19). At the bulges, the microstructure changed to scattered spheroidal carbides in a ferrite matrix (Figure IX-20). Creep fissures also formed on the bulge external surfaces (Figure IX-21). Moderately thick layers of thermally-formed iron oxide built up on both internal and external surfaces at the bulges.



Figure IX-19: Microstructure of Tube in As-manufactured Condition in All Locations Except at Bulges Consisting of Colonies of Pearlite in Ferrite Matrix



Figure IX-20: Microstructure at Bulges Consisting of Spheroidal Carbides in Ferrite Matrix





Figure IX-21: Intergranular Creep Fissures on External Surface at Bulge

All of the microstructural features at the bulges indicated that the tube wall at the bulge sites experienced mild, longterm overheating. Metal temperatures ranged from about 850° to 1,100°F (454° to 593°C) for a period ranging from several weeks to several months. Metals have decreased strength at high temperatures (Figure IX-22). Consequently, the tube wall bulged under stresses supplied by internal pressure. The localized nature of the bulging and internal deposition indicated that overheating was caused by excessive fireside heat input.



Figure IX-22: Metal Strength versus Temperature (Source: *The Nalco Guide to Boiler Failure Analysis*)



### **Control of Overheating**

The most practical method of controlling overheating in this case would be through proper insulation of the external surfaces of the tubes in the location where the bulges formed. This may be provided by installing refractory or repairing damaged refractory at that location. If this cannot be accomplished, then excessive heat input should be prevented by decreasing firing rates and preventing localized channeling of hot gases to the zone of the bulged tube.

Overheating of boiler tubes may be caused by several different factors, including not only excessive heat input, but also internal fluid flow rate and deposition, possibly in combination. Therefore, the cause of any bulging or rupturing failure of a boiler tube should be diagnosed with a thorough metallographic examination.

### Case History #6 — Failure of a CO Boiler Economizer Tube Due to Fireside Corrosion System — Carbon monoxide boiler Specimen — Economizer tube Orientation — Horizontal Material — Corten steel (carbon steel containing about 0.2% copper) Time in service — 11 years System age — 30 years Environment Internal — Boiler feedwater Temperature — 275°F (135°C) inlet, 390°— 415°F (199° — 213°C) outlet Pressure — 950 psi Chemical Treatment — Chelant, polymer, sulfite External — Carbon monoxide from fluid catalytic cracker, refinery gas, steam from soot-blowing Temperature — 450°F (232°C) Pressure — 5 — 8 psi Chemical treatment — None

Numerous deep depressions formed on the external surfaces of several different economizer tubes (Figure IX-23). Thick layers and mounds of light-colored deposits covered the depressions and surfaces in the surrounding areas. Deep depressions were found beneath adherent deposits (Figure IX-24). These deposits tested acidic in a distilled water solution. Chemical analysis of the deposits revealed the presence of high concentrations of sulfate and volatile sulfur compounds. The presence of these deposits indicates that the fireside corrosion may have been caused in part by the condensation of sulfuric acid on the surfaces. When high sulfur content fuels are combusted, the sulfur is oxidized to sulfur trioxide, which combines with moisture in the furnace environment to form sulfuric acid.





Figure IX-23: External Surface Depressions and Acidic, Light-colored Deposits



Figure IX-24: Deposits Removed to Reveal Depressions

### Reactions

$$\begin{split} & S + O_2 \rightarrow SO_2 \\ & SO_2 + O \rightarrow SO_3, SO_2 + 1/2 O_2 + catalyst \rightarrow SO_3 \\ & H_2O + SO_3 \rightarrow H_2SO_4 \\ & H_2SO_4 + Fe \rightarrow FeSO_4 + H_2 \end{split}$$



The dewpoint of sulfuric acid varies as a function of moisture and sulfur trioxide concentration (Figure IX-25 and Figure IX-26). Significant amounts of moisture were supplied to the furnace environment by nearby soot-blowers that emitted steam to remove fireside deposits from boiler tubes. Typically, the operating temperature of the economizer was well above the sulfuric acid dewpoint at any water and sulfur trioxide concentration. Therefore, corrosion during boiler operation was improbable. However, acidic deposits remaining on surfaces during idle periods became hydrated by condensed water to form acids that caused corrosion.







Figure IX-26: Sulfuric Acid Dewpoint as a Function of Water Vapor Concentration in Furnace Environment (Source: *The Nalco Guide to Boiler Failure Analysis*)

#### **Corrosion Control Measures**

If the fuel cannot be changed to one with a lower sulfur concentration, a few corrosion control measures may be effective.



Fireside acid corrosion during boiler operation may be controlled, if practical, by:

- I) Maintaining metal temperatures above the sulfuric acid dewpoint.
- 2) Reducing moisture content (lower moisture content fuels and minimization of soot-blowing).
- 3) Altering firing practice, by combusting fuel with lower excess air.
- 4) Feeding fuel treatment additives.

Corrosion by acidic fireside deposits during idle periods may be controlled by:

- 1) Removing corrosive acidic fireside deposits with high pressure water sprays followed by rinsing with a neutralizing lime wash spray.
- 2) Maintaining a dry fireside environment with the aid of dessicants.

Corten steel, normally used to control atmospheric corrosion, was chosen to help control idle time corrosion. However, this alloy was inadequately resistant to the aggressive, acidic fireside deposits.


# **Cooling Water Systems**

Cooling water systems include overhead condensers, surface condensers and a wide variety of process fluid heat exchangers. Several different corrosion and other failure mechanisms may occur on the watersides of heat exchanger tubing used in such systems. Some of these mechanisms are highlighted in the following case histories. More detailed descriptions, and additional cooling water system failure mechanisms are presented in The Nalco Guide to Cooling Water Systems Failure Analysis.

#### Case History #7 — Failure of a Heat Exchanger Tube Due to Stress Corrosion Cracking

System — Depentanizer Specimen — Heat exchanger tube (externally finned) Orientation — Horizontal Material — Admiralty brass Time in service — 10 years System age — 40 years Environment Internal — Cooling water Temperature - 85°-110°F (29° - 43°C) Pressure — 45 —70 psi Chemical treatment — Ortho/organic/polyphosphate, tolyltriazole, polyacrylate dispersant External — Crude unit light naphtha (C2 — C4) Temperature - 110°-120°F (43° — 49°C) Pressure — 45 psi

Approximately 200 heat exchanger tubes within the unit experienced sudden cracking failures (Figure IX-27). Metallographic analysis revealed the presence of deep, highly branched, intergranular cracks that penetrated from the internal, waterside surface (Figure IX-28). This mode of crack propagation is characteristic of stress corrosion cracking. Stress corrosion cracking of metals results from exposure to specific corrodents for the particular alloy while being subjected to applied and/or residual stresses. Residual stresses are supplied by original tube forming operations.



Figure IX-27: Wall of Admirality Brass Heat Exchanger Tube Penetrated by a Crack





Figure IX-28: Branched, Intergranular Crack and Fissures Penetrating from Internal Surface

The specific corrodents that most commonly cause stress corrosion cracking of admiralty brass are ammonia and hydrogen sulfide. These corrodents were considered as possible causes for the cracking. However, analysis of deposit and corrosion product layers that covered the internal surface revealed the presence of significant amounts of mercury compounds. Mercury causes rapid intergranular cracking of copper alloys in a manner similar to stress corrosion cracking, a mechanism referred to as liquid metal embrittlement. The rapidity of the failures and the intergranular propagation of the cracks more significantly implicate mercury as the corrodent. The presence of mercury is highly unusual in cooling water and could only have been introduced due to contamination. One possible source of mercury is from a pressure-measuring device.

#### **Control Measures**

Corrodents that may cause stress corrosion cracking of admiralty brass at low concentrations, such as mercury, should be eliminated from the environment. Other corrodents, such as ammonia and hydrogen sulfide, should be kept to low concentrations to avoid cracking. Reduction of stresses may control cracking to a less significant degree.



#### Case History #8 — Stress Corrosion Cracking of a Brass Heat Exchanger Tube

System — Propane condenser Specimen — Exchanger tube from the first pass of the condenser Orientation — Horizontal Material — Inhibited admiralty brass Time in service — Unknown System age — Unknown Environment Internal — Cooling water Temperature — 65°- 80°F (18° - 27°C) Pressure — 35 psi Chemical Treatment — Phosphonate/tolytriazole/chlorine, pH 8.0 External — Propane vapor Temperature — 150°F (66°C) Pressure — 135 psi

Numerous leaks occurred in the system over a short period of time. The received section contained a thick wall fracture on one end (Figure IX-29). Multiple crack initiation sites were present along the circumference. Numerous tight, transversely oriented cracks and fissures were present on the internal surface near the fractured end (Figure IX-30). Stratified deposit layers covered a smooth surface contour that showed no significant evidence of corrosion on the internal surface.



Figure IX-29: Brittle Fracture Edge Containing Multiple Crack Initiation Sites





Figure IX-30: Numerous Transversely Oriented Fissures near Fracture Edge

Metallographic cross sections revealed many tight, highly branched fissures indicative of Stress Corrosion Cracking (SCC) (Figure IX-31). The cracks propagated transgranularly into the tube microstructure (Figure IX-32). SCC of brass may be transgranular or intergranular. SCC of a susceptible alloy requires the simultaneous presence of sufficient tensile stress and a specific corrodent. The stress and corrodent interact synergistically to produce cracks on the surfaces exposed to the corrodent and propagate in response to the stress state. The transverse orientation of the cracks and multiple initiation sites along the circumference indicated that the tensile stresses responsible for cracking were uniaxial (longitudinally oriented). The tensile stresses required for cracking can be applied or residual. Residual stresses can be developed from fabrication and installation of the tubes.





Figure IX-31: Tight Branched Fissure Caused by Stress Corrosion Cracking



Figure IX-32: Transgranular Propagation Path of a Fissure



Stress corrosion cracking in copper alloys occurs most frequently in environments of aqueous solutions or moist atmospheres that contain ammonia or amines. Sulfur dioxide, hydrogen sulfide, nitrates and nitrites have also been shown to produce stress corrosion cracking in copper-base alloys. The fissures on the section initiated on the internal surface. It was reported that the cooling water was contaminated with amines for a period of time just prior to leaks developing in this condenser.

#### **Control Measures**

Stress corrosion cracking can be prevented by removing one of the requirements of cracking, (i.e., tensile stress, the corrodent or the susceptible alloy). In general, the propensity and severity of the cracking increases as stress levels rise. For many alloys, there is a threshold stress below which stress corrosion cracking will not occur (Figure IX-33). Threshold stress depends on many factors, including temperature, alloy composition and concentration of the corrodent. Reduction of the applied and/or residual stresses can reduce or eliminate cracking. Preventing contamination into the system of corrodents responsible for stress corrosion cracking will eliminate cracking. In addition, changing to a less susceptible material may also prevent cracking. Substitution of 70-30 cupronickel in place of admiralty or other copper-base alloys has been suggested as a preventative measure for stress corrosion cracking in refinery service.



# Figure IX-33: Increase in Time to Failure with Decreasing Stress and Threshold Stress Below Which Cracking Does Not Occur



#### Case History #9 — Failure of a Heat Exchanger Tube due to Dealloying and Corrosion Fatigue Cracking System — Surface condenser Specimen — Condenser tube Orientation — Horizontal Material — Admiralty brass Time in service -6 years System age — 20 years Environment Internal — Cooling water (high chloride concentration, > 400 ppm) Temperature — 100°- 118°F (38°- 48°C) Pressure — 5 kgf Chemical treatment — Organic phosphate, dispersant, bleach External — Steam condensate from a boiler Temperature — 130°- 140°F (55°- 60°C) Pressure — Slight vacuum

A crack-like failure occurred in a surface condenser tube (Figure IX-34). Scattered depressions formed on the internal surface (Figure IX-35). Metallographic analysis revealed that the surfaces of the depressions were covered with layers of metallic copper, indicating that dezincification, a form of dealloying, occurred (Figure IX-36). Dezincification occurred beneath occlusive deposit layers due to the concentration of chloride from the water solution by an ion concentration cell mechanism. The corrosion caused zinc to be preferentially removed from the copper-zinc matrix of the alloy. This left behind a weak, porous mass of pure copper on the corroded surface.



Figure IX-34: Cracking Failure





Figure IX-35: Internal Surface Depressions



Figure IX-36: Metallic Copper Covering Surface, Indicating Dezincification

Failure occurred primarily due to corrosion fatigue cracking. The crack initiated at the base of one of these depressions, which served as a point of stress concentration (Figure IX-37). This cracking resulted from the application of cyclic tensile stresses. These stresses were supplied by cyclic bending, expansion and contraction, and vibration in service.





Figure IX-37: Corrosion Fatigue Fissures Penetrating from Base of Depression

# **Corrosion Control**

Dezincification may be controlled by maintaining clean metal surfaces, and by avoiding low or stagnant flow. Exposure to acidic or alkaline waters, or high chloride waters, promotes dezincification. Therefore, the use of an alternate, more resistant alloy such as cupro-nickel may be considered.

Corrosion fatigue cracking may be controlled most effectively by minimizing the magnitude and frequency of the applied stresses.

Case History #10 — Failure of a Heat Exchanger Tube due to Microbiologically Influenced Corrosion System — Lean amine cooler Specimen — Heat exchanger tube Orientation — Horizontal Material — Carbon steel Time in service — 2 1/2 years System age — 7 years Environment Internal — Lean amine Temperature —  $I40^{\circ}F$  (60°C) Pressure — 2,800 kPa External — Cooling water Temperature — II2°F (45°C) Pressure — 650 kPa Chemical treatment — Zinc phosphate, polymer, nonoxidizing biocide



Clusters of mutually intersecting, deep depressions formed in scattered spots on the external surfaces of several tubes in the exchanger (Figure IX-38). The depressions were hemispherical in shape. In some locations, the depressions mutually intersected (Figure IX-39). The depressions were lined with iron sulfide corrosion products (Figure IX-40). It was reported that a gooey slime layer covered the external surface when the bundle was removed. Bacterial counts on tube surfaces were 5 million CFU/ml total, with 8,000 CFU/ml of sulfate reducing bacteria.



Figure IX-38: Scattered, Deep External Surface Depressions



Figure IX-39: Clusters of Mutually-intersecting, Rounded Depressions





Figure IX-40: Metallographic Cross-section of Depression Covered with Corrosion Products Containing Sulfide

In any failure analysis investigation, all four factors listed below are required to positively confirm a case of microbiologically influenced corrosion. In this case, all four factors were identified to confirm that corrosion was caused by sulfate reducing bacteria.

- I) The presence of microorganisms Confirmed by high bacteria counts.
- 2) Unique corrosion morphology Hemispherical depressions, some in clusters.
- 3) Specific corrosion products and deposits Sulfide layers lining the depressions.
- 4) Compatible environmental conditions Sulfate reducing bacteria are anaerobic.

Sulfate reducing bacteria reduce sulfate ions to sulfide in their biological process. One of the more popular proposed mechanisms to explain how these bacteria promote corrosion is through removal of hydrogen, which depolarizes the cathode in the corrosion cell. Sulfate reducing bacteria are anaerobic, so they live and grow beneath occlusive layers of deposits and slime. Slime also promotes the growth of anaerobic bacteria by depleting oxygen.

#### **Corrosion Control**

Microbiologically influenced corrosion may be controlled through use of adequate concentrations of proper biocides that are fed in a proper manner. Low (< 3 ft/s) or stagnant (<1 ft/s) flow should be minimized or prevented. Clean metal surfaces should also be maintained. In this case history, flow rates were low, since cooling water flowed across the shell sides of the tubes. In addition, slug feed of biocide was inadequate for the system.



## Case History # 11 — Failure of a Heat Exchanger Tube due to Concentration Cell Corrosion

System — Splitter bottoms cooler Specimen — Heat exchanger tube Orientation — Horizontal Material — Carbon steel Time in service — 2 years System age — 25 years Environment Internal — Cooling water Temperature — 75°F (24°C) in, 95°F (35°C) out Chemical treatment — Organic phosphate, bleach External — Heavy lean oil Temperature — 317°F (158°C) in, 90°F (32°C) out Pressure — 12 psi

The internal surface of a steel heat exchanger tube section was covered with thin layers of light-colored deposits that consisted primarily of calcium phosphate (Figure IX-41). These deposits overlaid layers and tubercles of brown iron oxide. Some of the tubercles were hollow and lined with material that was acidic and contained significant amounts of chloride and sulfur compounds. The hollow tubercles were broken away to reveal rough-surfaced, undercut depressions that were bare, or lined with very thin deposit and corrosion product layers. These surfaces could be cleaned to bare metal quickly with a concentrated hydrochloric acid solution (Figure IX-42). Metallographic cross-sections also revealed undercut depressions that were capped with hollow tubercles (Figure IX-43).



Figure IX-41: Iron Oxide Layers and Tubercles Overlaid with Water-formed Deposit Layers





Figure IX-42: Deposit and Corrosion Product Layers Removed to Reveal Rough-surfaced, Undercut Depressions



Figure IX-43: Metallographic Cross-section of Rough-surfaced, Undercut Depressions beneath Hollow Iron Oxide Tubercle



Initial corrosion was caused by the formation of differential oxygen concentration cells beneath occlusive deposit layers. A diagram of a differential oxygen concentration cell between contacting metal surfaces is shown in Figure IX-44. The water beneath an occlusive deposit or corrosion product layer or within a tight crevice between contacting metal surfaces becomes depleted in oxygen concentration. Consequently, the cathodic reaction in the corrosion cell could only occur where oxygen concentrations were higher, such as at breaks in the deposit layer, and on the outer surfaces of iron oxide layers and tubercles. The anodic reaction in the corrosion cell occurs within the oxygen depleted zone to produce metal dissolution. Metal ion leaks out of the oxygen depleted zone into the zone where oxygen concentration is high to produce iron oxide corrosion products. By this process, iron oxide tubercles form.



Figure IX-44: (Source: The Nalco Guide to Cooling Water Systems Failure Analysis)



#### Reactions

Oxygen concentration cellAnodic reaction Fe  $\rightarrow$  Fe<sup>2+</sup> + 2e<sup>-</sup>Cathodic reactionO2 + 2H2O + 4e<sup>-</sup>  $\rightarrow$  4OH<sup>-</sup>Corrosion products formed2 Fe<sup>2+</sup> + 4e<sup>-</sup> + O2 + 2H2O  $\rightarrow$  2Fe(OH)2

Ion concentration cells also may form beneath occlusive deposit and corrosion product layers, tubercles and between crevices (Figure IX-45). The positively charged metal ions within the occluded zones attract aggressive anions such as chloride, sulfate, and sulfide. Aggressive anion concentration may become several times that in the bulk water to produce locally acidic and highly corrosive environments. The acidic environments dissolve protective corrosion product layers and then the metal itself to produce rough-surfaced, undercut pits and depressions. Acid corrosion significantly accelerates metal loss. The reactions and corrosion products that may occur within an iron oxide tubercle are shown in Figure IX-46.









Figure IX-46: Tubercle (Source: *The Nalco Guide to Cooling Water Systems Failure Analysis*)

# **Corrosion Control Measures**

Concentration cell corrosion may be controlled by maintaining clean metal surfaces, both mechanically and through proper water treatment and corrosion inhibition. Corrosion may also be controlled by minimizing or eliminating low or stagnant flow, and by decreasing concentration of aggressive anions. If these measures cannot be taken, and/or if corrosion cannot be controlled, then the use of alternate materials of coatings may be considered.



# **ABOUT THE AUTHORS**

#### William (Bill) Tillis

Consultant

William (Bill) Tillis has 46 years experience in the field of corrosion. Presently retired from Exxon, he is consulting with Nalco on oilfield and refinery failure analysis. He has worked for Curtis-Wright, Pennwalt, FMC Corp., General Electric, NL Industries, NL Treating Chemicals, and Exxon Chemicals. Bill has worked the past 23 years in oilfield corrosion inhibitor testing and failure analysis.

Bill received a BS degree in chemistry from Long Island University, and a MS in chemistry from Drexel University. He is a NACE certified corrosion specialist and an active member of both NACE and the American Society of Metals (ASM). Bill holds several U.S. patents and has authored numerous SPE and NACE papers.



**Bill Tillis** 



# James (Jim) Dillon

Research Scientist

Jim has been a part of the Nalco metallurgy group for 20 years, serving as senior research metallurgist, staff scientist and his current position. He has conducted more than 3,000 failure analysis investigations on components from a variety of industrial systems, both in the laboratory and during on-site inspections. Jim has been involved in the consultation and education of customers as well as sales, marketing and research personnel concerning the identification and mitigation of corrosion and other failure mechanisms.

Jim received a BS degree in metallurgical engineering from the Illinois Institute of Technology. His professional associations include the American Society for Metals (ASM), National Association of Corrosion Engineers (NACE), Association of Iron and Steel Engineers (AISE), and American Iron and Steel Institute (AISI). Jim has authored numerous articles for NACE.

# Paul Desch

Senior Research Metallurgist

Paul has been with Nalco for 6 years serving as a senior metallurgist and senior research metallurgist. Paul has extensive experience in boiler systems and cooling water systems, especially regarding material selection and failure modes associated with different materials. He currently serves as the lead contact for the company's compatibility testing program, which encompasses many aspects of product compatibility with metals, nonmetals and coatings.

Paul received a BS degree in 1988 and a PhD in 1996 from the Illinois Institute of Technology in Chicago. His professional associations include the American Society for Metals (ASM), National Association of Corrosion Engineers (NACE), and the Society of Plastics Engineers (SPE).



Paul Desch (Left) and Jim Dillon (Right)



People you trust, delivering results.

Energy Services Division Worldwide Headquarters P.O. Box 87, Sugar Land, Texas 77487-0087 U.S.A. +1 281 263 7000

SUBSIDIARIES AND AFFILIATES IN PRINCIPAL LOCATIONS AROUND THE WORLD

www.nalco.com

©2004 Nalco Company All Rights Reserved Printed in USA 11/04 NE-66 DS/OFC

www.iran\_mayad.com

راجع علمي مهندسي مواد